

Wladimir Guglinski's letter

----- Original Message -----

From: [Wladimir Guglinski](#)

To: [PAMELA MOSIER-BOSS](#)

Cc: j.dunning-davies@hull.ac.uk ; [Franco Fucilla](#) ; [Peter Jones](#)

Sent: Wednesday, August 05, 2009 1:27 AM

Subject: letter to President Barack Obama

4 August 2009

To the President Barack Obama of United States of America

Dear President

In May-2009 the US Navy announced the latest achievement in the field of cold fusion research: an experiment made by Pamela Mosier-Boss and coloborators showed that there exists nuclear reactions in the cold fusion experiment made by Fleischmann and Pons 20 years ago. Pamela and her crew used a plastic CR-39, which exhibited holes exactly like those ones produced by neutrons in experiments made by nuclear physicists. Those holes imply that there are nuclear reactions in cold fusion.

The community of physicists worldwide used to allege, along 20 years, that there is no nuclear reactions in Fleischmann-Pons experiments. Pamela's experiment showed that the community of physicists was wrong, and now many nuclear physicists are convinced that nuclear reactions are responsible for the results of cold fusion experiments.

Unfortunately, the community of physicists continue to neglect the reality of cold fusion, because they claim that the phenomenon is theoretically impossible to occur. Besides, in the case of Pamela's experiment, there is another intriguing fact: the neutrons were emitted with energy 10MeV (measured during the experiment), but the energy available into the deuterons (which emit the neutrons) is only 2MeV. There is no way to explain, according to the physicists, from where those neutrons with 10MeV get such excess of 8MeV.

I am the author of the book "**Quantum Ring Theory, foundations for cold fusion**", published in Usa by the Bäuu Institute Press, in August 2006.

From the foundations of my Quantum Ring Theory, I have proposed an explanation: how the Pamela's experiment occurs, and why the neutrons are emitted with 10MeV (although the physicists believe to be impossible such emission with 10MeV, by taking in

consideration the current prevailing Nuclear Physics, developed under the foundations of Quantum Mechanics).

My explanation to Pamela's experiment is available in the Peswiki website, under the title "**How zitterbewegung contributes for cold fusion in Pamela Mosier-Boss experiment**"

Also, I sent to Pamela an email, suggesting to incorporate in her experiment an improvement, which I believe is capable to increase the velocity of nuclear reactions in her experiment.

Pamela sent me the following reply:

RE: absence of gamma-rays in your experiment, and neutron's background
From: **Boss, Pamela A CIV SPAWAR SSC PAC, 71730** (pam.boss@navy.mil)
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2009 2:29:49 PM
To: Wladimir Guglinski (wladimirguglinski@hotmail.com)
Cc: m_bernstein@acs.org; David Hestenes (hestenes@asu.edu); EDEL PONS (canmarrai@gmail.com)

Dear Wladimir,

Like many, we have very few funds and resources. But we will consider your suggestions and see what we can do as time and money permits.

Regards,

Pam

Enclose I am sending 3 books of mine:

- 1- **Quantum Ring Theory, foundations for cold fusion** – where are proposed the foundations of the theory
- 2- **The Missed U-Turn , the duel Heisenberg vs Schrodinger** – this book explains the foundations of Quantum Ring Theory through a text suitable to be understood by the layman. The book will be published in London coming soon, under the patronage of the Telesio Galilei Academy of Sciences.
- 3- **The Evolution of Physics, the duel Descartes vs Newton** – this book shows that, unlike the community of physics worldwide believes, the current Modern Physics is not the latest stage of Physics development. As shown in my book, the theoretical development of Physics must be made through 5 stages (see page 21 of the book). Nowadays such development is in the 3rd stage. The way for developing the 4th stage is proposed in my Quantum Ring Theory. The 5th stage did not start yet, because its development requires the 4th stage be ready. This is the reason why the physicists believe that cold fusion is theoretically impossible, since they don't know that it is missing the 5th stage of the Physics development. This book is being reviewed by Dr. Jeremy Dunning-Davies, President of the Telesio Galilei Academy (he is making corrections in the English). In spite of the review is not ready, I decided to send you the book, and so I hope you forgive my bad English.

By reading The Missed U-Turn and The Evolution of Physics, you will be able to understand what happened in the development of Physics, and why the community of physicists neglect the reality of cold fusion.

Cold fusion can be the answer for the world's need of energy in coming years, if the research be encouraged and supported by funds. So, a solution for the troubles of energy can be found from the cold fusion research, if you decide to order to supply funds for the development of its technology.

But there is another important thing you can do. You may encourage the investigation of the 4th stage of Physics development, as proposed in Quantum Ring Theory. If confirmed the the way for the development of the 4th stage proposed in my theory, this will open the door for the development of the 5th stage. Such a process, encouraged by you, will contribute for new great discoveries in Physics, as for instance a new technology to get spaceships very faster than those ones made nowadays by NASA.

Dear President

Many presidents of USA have written their names in the history of your country. Some of them have written their name in the history of the world.

Now, you have the chance to write your name in the History of the Humanity.

Regards,

Wladimir Guglinski

Subject: RE: absence of gamma-rays in your experiment, and neutron's background

Date: Mon, 13 Apr 2009 10:29:47 -0700

From: pam.boss@navy.mil

To: wladimircuglinski@hotmail.com

CC: m_bernstein@acs.org; hestenes@asu.edu; canmarrai@gmail.com

Dear Wladimir,

Like many, we have very few funds and resources. But we will consider your suggestions and see what we can do as time and money permits.

Regards,

Pam

-----Original Message-----

From: Wladimir Guglinski [mailto:wladimircuglinski@hotmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, April 11, 2009 11:46 AM

To: Boss, Pamela A CIV SPAWAR SSC PAC, 71730

Cc: m_bernstein@acs.org; David Hestenes; EDEL PONS

Subject: RE: absence of gamma-rays in your experiment, and neutron's background

Dear Pamela

My theory can be tested by your experiment.

My idea is to use an oscillator capable to increase the oscillatory motion of the molecules D-D within the Pd lattice, by stimulating the resonance D-D.

If you succeed to stimulate the resonance D-D , we have to expect a growth in the rate of fusion D-D and also in the rate of neutrons emission by unity of time.

The oscillator I suggest is the following:

1- A glass buble is fulfilled by heavy hydrogen (D-D molecules).

The buble must be placed close to the Pd lattice deposited in the cathode.

2- Two electrodes are connected inside the buble.

3- A high voltage is applied to the electrodes, producing an electric discharge that crosses the gas of molecules D-D.

4- The molecules D-D into the buble are excited, and they emit photons in a frequency which is a sub-multiple of the frequency oscillation of the molecules D-D that fulfill the Pd lattice.

5- The molecules D-D within the Pd lattice get resonance with the frequency of emission by the D-D molecules into the buble, and the oscillation of D-D within Pd is stimulated to increase its amplitude.

6- I suppose such stimulation of resonance may increase the velocity of D-D fusion within the Pd lattice.

A SECOND ALTERNATIVE:

You can use a laser that hits the molecules D-D within the glass bubble, instead of using an electrical discharge.

A THIRD ALTERNATIVE

The best would be to build a laser which emission is produced by D-D molecules. In such case there is no need to have a glass bubble, because the laser would be applied directly to the region of Pd lattice.

Perhaps you have to try the three alternatives.

It's my opinion you should have to try it.

After all, we are in front to a new Physics, and we have to try any new idea if it makes sense.

Good luck in your attempt, if you decide to do it.

Regards

Wladimir Guglinski

Subject: RE: absence of gamma-rays in your experiment, and neutron's background
Date: Thu, 9 Apr 2009 08:18:50 -0700
From: pam.boss@navy.mil
To: wladimircuglinski@hotmail.com

Thank you,
Pam

-----Original Message-----

From: Wladimir Guglinski [mailto:wladimircuglinski@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 08, 2009 11:27 PM
To: Boss, Pamela A CIV SPAWAR SSC PAC, 71730
Subject: RE: absence of gamma-rays in your experiment, and neutron's background

Hi, Pamela

a theoretical explanation, based on the new nuclear model proposed in Quantum Ring Theory, is proposed in order to explain the emission of neutrons with energy 9,5MeV in your experiment.

You may find it in the link:

Article: How zitterbewegung contributes for cold fusion in Pamela Mosier-Boss experiment
<http://peswiki.com/index.php/Article: How zitterbewegung contributes for cold fusion in Pamela Mosier-Boss experiment>

best wishes
WLADIMIR GUGLINSKI

Subject: RE: absence of gamma-rays in your experiment, and neutron's background
Date: Mon, 6 Apr 2009 08:18:38 -0700
From: pam.boss@navy.mil
To: wladimircuglinski@hotmail.com

Dear Wladimir,

Thank you for your comments. Attached is our paper on gamma emissions that discusses what happens when signals occur in bursts.

Mitch did not attend any of the presentations nor has he read any of the papers that have been published in the journals. In my opinion, since he has not done his homework, he has no right to make any comments on the field.

Regards,

Pam

-----Original Message-----

From: Wladimir Guglinski [mailto:wladimirguglinski@hotmail.com]

Sent: Friday, April 03, 2009 8:13 PM

To: Boss, Pamela A CIV SPAWAR SSC PAC, 71730

Subject: absence of gamma-rays in your experiment, and neutron's background

Dear Pamela

Some questions, as for instance concerning absence of gamma emission and the background of neutron in your experiment, were discussed in the link:

<http://www.chemistry-blog.com/2009/03/26/cold-fusion-has-its-press-conference/#comments>

I proposed some explanations there, where I told to people that the question of neutron's background was controlled in the Don Borghi's experiment

regards

WLADIMIR GUGLINSKI